



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-12-2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 31640 of 2024

WEB COPY

Jayakumar

S/o.Subramaniyan, Village Munjurpattu, Vellore
District, Tamil Nadu Pin - 632 001. and another

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by

The Inspector of Police, Vellore Taluk Police
Station, Vellore District. (Crime.No. 410 of 2024.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mohammed sayeed Allabakeah

J.Prakasam

V.Gopal

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh, Govt.Adv.(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 326(a) of BNS, 2023 of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.410 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners had transported norambu sand by using a lorry bearing Registration No.TN-28-BJ-871 without any valid licence from the Government. Hence the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and that a case of sand theft has been fabricated against him. He would further submit that he has no previous case against them and without prejudice to their contention, the petitioners are prepared to deposit an amount of Rs.10,000/- each towards any charitable organization or association. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the quantity of river sand involved is 2 units norambu sand. He would further submit that the petitioners have no previous case pending against them. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6.In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals,




the Apex Court in *S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated*

11.12.2020), while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

7.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the Murambu Sand involved is small quantity and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioners offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioners may be directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of District Legal Services Authority, Vellore District, without prejudice to their right and contentions before the trial Court.

8.It is made clear that merely because the petitioners are depositing



the amount, it would not amount to the petitioners admitting his guilt in the criminal case and such amount is being paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, the petitioners shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the **District Legal Services Authority, Vellore District**, and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate Court-I, Vellore**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their



photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

MSM

19-12-2024



To

1. The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Vellore Taluk Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime.No. 410 of 2024.

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras



WEB COPY



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No.31640 of 2024

19.12.2024