



WEB COPY



W.P.No.38609 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :17.12.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

**W.P. No.38609 of 2024
and
W.M.P.Nos.41810 and 41811 of 2024**

Shamsudeen Shaik Abdul Kadir

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Tax Officer,
Udhagai South Circle,
Uthagamandalam,
The Nilgiris.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records on the file of the respondent in GSTIN:33CCUPS0779Q1ZF/2021-22 dated 25.08.2023 for the Tax Period 2021-22 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to pass orders afresh after affording the petitioner an opportunity of hearing.

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Saritha

For Respondent : Mr.C.Harsha Raj,
Additional Government Pleader.



W.P.No.38609 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY The present writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order passed by the respondent dated 25.08.2023 relating to the assessment year 2021-22.

2. The petitioner is a registered dealer under the Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017. During the relevant period of 2021-22, the petitioner filed its returns and paid the appropriate taxes. However, on verification of the petitioner's returns, it was noticed that there was a difference between the purchase and sale values which was proposed to be treated as sales suppression.

2.1. Pursuant thereto, a notice in DRC-01A was issued on 26.08.2022, followed by a notice in DRC-01 on 17.02.2023. Personal hearing was offered on 17.07.2023. However, the petitioner had neither filed its reply nor availed the opportunity for a personal hearing. Hence, the impugned order came to be passed, confirming the proposal.

3. The impugned order is challenged on the premise that neither the show cause notices nor the impugned order of assessment has been served on the petitioner by tender or sending it by RPAD, instead it had been uploaded in the GST Portal, thereby, the petitioner was unaware of the initiated



proceedings and was thus unable to participate in the adjudication proceedings.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the petitioner is provided with an opportunity, they would be able to explain the alleged discrepancies. The learned counsel for the petitioner would then place reliance upon the recent judgment of this Court in the case of ***M/s.K.Balakrishnan, Balu Cables vs. O/o. the Assistant Commissioner of GST & Central Excise in W.P.(MD)No.11924 of 2024 dated 10.06.2024***, to submit that this court has remanded the matter back in similar circumstances subject to payment of 25% of the disputed taxes. It was further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax and that they may be granted one final opportunity before the adjudicating authority to put forth their objections to the proposal, to which the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent does not have any serious objection.

5. By consent of both parties, the writ petition stands disposed of on the following terms:

a) The impugned order dated 25.08.2023 is set aside.

b) The petitioner shall deposit 25% of the disputed taxes as admitted by



W.P.No.38609 of 2019

the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

c) If any amount has been recovered or paid out of the disputed taxes, including by way of pre-deposit in appeal, the same would be reduced/adjusted, from/towards the 25% of disputed taxes directed to be paid. The assessing authority shall then intimate the balance amount out of 25 % of disputed taxes to be paid, if any, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner shall deposit such remaining sum within a period of three weeks from such intimation.

d) The entire exercise of verification of payment, if any, intimation of the balance sums, if any, to be paid for compliance with the direction of payment of 25% of the disputed taxes, after deducting the sums already paid and payment by the petitioner of the balance amount, if any, on intimation in compliance with the above direction shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

e) Failure to comply with the above condition viz., payment of 25% of disputed taxes within the stipulated period i.e., four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order shall result in restoration of the impugned order.

f) If there is any recovery by way of attachment of Bank account or



W.P.No.38609 of 2024

garnishee proceedings, the same shall be lifted /withdrawn on complying with the above condition viz., payment of 25 % of the disputed taxes.

WEB COPY

g) On complying with the above condition, the impugned order of assessment shall be treated as show cause notice and the petitioner shall submit its objections within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order along with supporting documents/material. If any such objections are filed, the same shall be considered by the respondent and orders shall be passed in accordance with law after affording a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It is made clear that if the above conditions viz., 25% of disputed taxes is not complied or objections are not filed within the stipulated period, four weeks respectively from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the impugned order of assessment shall stand restored.

6. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

17.12.2024

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order

Index : Yes/ No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

shk



WEB COPY



W.P.No.38609 of 2024

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

shk

To:

The State Tax Officer,
Udhagai South Circle,
Uthagamandalam,
The Nilgiris.

**W.P. No.38609 of 2024
and
W.M.P.Nos.41810 and 41811 of 2024**

17.12.2024