



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.31162 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.12.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.31162 of 2024

Mohammed Toufiq

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
V3-JJ Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.728 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.728 of 2024, on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.Thinesh P

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.728 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 22(c) & 29(1) of NDPS



Crl.O.P.No.31162 of 2024

Act altered as Sections 8(c), 22(c), 29(1) & 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the co-accused were engaged in selling of Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic substances and based on their confession the petitioner was arrested.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent. He has been falsely implicated in the present case based on the confession recorded from A18, who is the father-in-law of the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) would submit that the petitioner was involved in possession and sale of Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic substances and there are clear evidence to show that the petitioner is involved in selling O.G. Ganja and hence, he object for grant of anticipatory bail.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.31162 of 2024

WEB COPY

6.Taking into consideration the above submissions and the fact that A18 is the father-in-law of the petitioner and A19 is the brother-in-law of the petitioner and they have confessed that the petitioner, on their instruction travelled to Bangkok and purchased high quality O.G. Ganja. Since there are also materials available to show that the petitioner has flown to Bangkok frequently, which tallies with the statement given by the A18. this Court is not inclined to grant Anticipatory Bail.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

19.12.2024

rst

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Ambatur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
V3-JJ Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.31162 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA., J.

rst

Crl.O.P.No.31162 of 2024

19.12.2024