



WEB COPY



W.P.No.38466 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :16.12.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

W.P. No.38466 of 2024

and

W.M.P.Nos.41653 and 41654 of 2024

M/s.New India Super Market,
Represented by its proprietor,
Mr.Sarjun Ahamed (M/A 30 yrs),
No.53, Periyar Street,
Chengalpattu 603 001.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Chengalpattu Assessment Circle,
1st Main Road, Anna Nagar,
Chengalpattu 001.

2.The Deputy Commissioner (CT)
On Behalf of Appellate Authority,
Chengalpattu.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records on the file of the respondent and to quash the impugned order dated 21.12.2023 bearing GSTIN No:33GEGPS8313G1ZC/2017-18 passed by the first respondent and its consequential appeal rejection order dated 23.10.2024 bearing GSTIN No. 33GEGPS8313G1ZC in form GST APL-02 passed by the second respondent as arbitrary.



W.P.No.38466 of 2023

For Petitioner

: M/S.J.Ashish

WEB COPY For Respondents

: Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran,
Government Advocate.

ORDER

The present writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order passed by the first respondent dated 21.12.2023 relating to the assessment year 2017-18 and the consequential appeal rejection order dated 23.10.2024.

2. The petitioner is engaged in the business of retailing soap, rice, seeds and pastries and is registered under the Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017. During the relevant period of 2017-18, the petitioner filed its returns and paid the appropriate taxes. However, on comparison of the Input Tax availed by the petitioner through GSTR-3B returns with GSTR-2A, GSTR-1, GSTR-5 and GSTR-6 and Import data received from ICEGATE, it was *inter alia* noticed that there was an Excess Claim of Input Tax Credit.

2.1. Pursuant thereto, a notice in DRC-01 was issued on 29.09.2023, followed by reminders on 25.11.2023 and 01.12.2023. However, the petitioner had neither filed its reply nor availed the opportunity for a personal hearing. Hence, the impugned order came to be passed, confirming the proposal.



WEB COPY

3. The impugned order is challenged on the premise that neither the show cause notices nor the impugned order of assessment has been served on the petitioner by tender or sending it by RPAD, instead it had been uploaded under View Additional Notices / Orders tab in the GST Portal, thereby, the petitioner was unaware of the initiated proceedings and was thus unable to participate in the adjudication proceedings.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the petitioner is provided with an opportunity, they would be able to explain the alleged discrepancies.. The learned counsel for the petitioner would then place reliance upon the recent judgment of this Court in the case of ***M/s.K.Balakrishnan, Balu Cables vs. O/o. the Assistant Commissioner of GST & Central Excise in W.P.(MD)No.11924 of 2024 dated 10.06.2024***, to submit that this court has remanded the matter back in similar circumstances subject to payment of 25% of the disputed taxes. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had filed an appeal dated 07.05.2024 before the Appellate Authority along with 10% pre-deposit, which has been rejected on the ground of being barred by limitation and his only request is that the same may be adjusted towards 25% of the disputed tax, to which, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the



W.P.No.38466 of 2024

respondents does not have any serious objection.

WEB COPY

5. By consent of both parties, the writ petition stands disposed of on the following terms:

a) The impugned order dated 21.12.2023 and the consequential appeal rejection order dated 23.10.2024 are set aside.

b) The petitioner shall deposit 25% of the disputed taxes as admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

c) If any amount has been recovered or paid out of the disputed taxes, including by way of pre-deposit in appeal, the same would be reduced/adjusted, from/towards the 25% of disputed taxes directed to be paid. The assessing authority shall then intimate the balance amount out of 25 % of disputed taxes to be paid, if any, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner shall deposit such remaining sum within a period of three weeks from such intimation.

d) The entire exercise of verification of payment, if any, intimation of the balance sums, if any, to be paid for compliance with the direction of payment of 25% of the disputed taxes, after deducting the sums already paid and payment by the petitioner of the balance amount, if any, on intimation in



W.P.No.38466 of 2017

compliance with the above direction shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

WEB COPY

e) Failure to comply with the above condition viz., payment of 25% of disputed taxes within the stipulated period i.e., four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order shall result in restoration of the impugned order.

f) If there is any recovery by way of attachment of Bank account or garnishee proceedings, the same shall be lifted /withdrawn on complying with the above condition viz., payment of 25 % of the disputed taxes.

g) On complying with the above condition, the impugned order of assessment shall be treated as show cause notice and the petitioner shall submit its objections within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order along with supporting documents/material. If any such objections are filed, the same shall be considered by the respondent and orders shall be passed in accordance with law after affording a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It is made clear that if the above conditions viz., 25% of disputed taxes is not complied or objections are not filed within the stipulated period, four weeks respectively from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the impugned order of assessment shall stand restored.



W.P.No.38466 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

16.12.2024

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order
Index : Yes/ No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
shk



W.P.No.38466 of 2021.

WEB COPY To:

- 1.The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Chengalpattu Assessment Circle,
1st Main Road, Anna Nagar,
Chengalpattu 001.
- 2.The Deputy Commissioner (CT)
On Behalf of Appellate Authority,
Chengalpattu.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.38466 of 2024

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

shk

**W.P. No.38466 of 2024
and
W.M.P.Nos.41653 and 41654 of 2024**

16.12.2024