



Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.03.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

and

Crl.M.P.No.105 of 2024

Viji @ Vijay

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Taluk Executive Magistrate and
Thasildhar,
Mohanur Taluk,
Namakkal District.

2.State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Mohanur Police Station,
Namakkal District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Petition filed under Sections 397 r/w. 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the proceedings of the first respondent in M.C.No.09/2023/A1 dated 04.12.2023 and set aside the same.



Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Deepak Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Kishore Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

Challenging the order passed by the first respondent under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C. in M.C.No.09/2023/A1 dated 04.12.2023, the present revision petition is filed.

2.The grounds assailed by the petitioner is that the order passed by the first respondent is erroneous, not maintainable in law and against the settled position of law. The learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of ***Gulam Abbas vs. state of Uttar Pradesh*** reported in ***[1982] 1 SCC 71*** and he also placed reliance on the decision of this Court in the case of ***Devi vs. Executive Magistrate*** reported in ***[2020] 6 CTC 157***. The learned counsel further referred to the order of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of ***P.Sathish @ Sathish Kumar vs. The Inspector of Police, Law and Order, H-4***



Korukkupet Police Station, Chennai and another in Crl.RC.No.137 of

2018 batch dated 13.03.2023 and submitted that the Executive Magistrate cannot authorize imprisonment under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.c. for violation of a bond under Section 107 Cr.P.C. and a person who has violated the bond executed before the Executive Magistrate under the said provision will have to be challaned or prosecuted before the Judicial Magistrate for inquiry and punishment under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C. Following the order of the Division Bench, the learned Single Judge of this Court has set aside the proceedings of the Executive Magistrate in ***Crl.RC.No.268 of 2023 dated 20.06.2023 [Kozhi Raja @ Raja vs. The Executive Magistrate and Deputy Commissioner of Police, North, Coimbatore City, Coimbatore]***. Hence, he prayed for setting aside the order passed by the Executive Magistrate.

3.The Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender who was causing disturbance to the public and also to the traffic. Hence, proceedings has been initiated under Section 110 Cr.P.C., he was produced before the first respondent on the same day and the first respondent obtained a bond from



the petitioner for good behaviour for one year. Thereafter, on 23.11.2023 at about 11.00 hours, when one Sarathi, who is a Teacher, went to his relative's house in a two wheeler bearing registration No.TN-88-U-0032, at that time, two unknown persons came there and robbed one sovereign gold and 2 mobile phones. Thereafter, on the complaint of the said Sarathi, a case in Crime No.289/2023 for the offence under Section 392 IPC registered. The petitioner was arrested on 24.11.2023 and he was produced before the first respondent. Since the petitioner has violated the condition, enquiry conducted by the second respondent and an order was passed by the first respondent under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C. keeping the petitioner under confinement till 17.04.2024.

4.He further submitted that Special Leave Petition was filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court against the order of the Division Bench in Crl.RC.No.137 of 2018 batch in Crl.A.No.682 of 2024 [SLP(Crl.) No.012075/2023]. The SLP was admitted and notice ordered, but no stay granted.



5.Considering the submissions made and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the Division Bench of this Court in Crl.RC.No.137 of 2018 batch dated 13.03.2023, in paragraph No.88(e) has held as follows:

*88.(e) In the light of the law laid down in paragraph 24 of the three judge bench decision of the Supreme Court in **Gulam Abbas v State of Uttar Pradesh (1982) 1 SCC 71**, an Executive Magistrate cannot authorize imprisonment under Section 122(1)(b) for violation of a bond under Section 107 Cr.P.C. A person who has violated the bond executed before the Executive Magistrate under the said provision will have to be challaned or prosecuted before the Judicial Magistrate for inquiry and punishment under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C.*

6.It is seen that the first respondent directed the petitioner to be in confinement till 17.04.2024. It is further seen that a cryptic order was passed by the first respondent which is not proper. In any event, in view of the order of the Division Bench of this Court in Crl.RC.No.137 of 2018 batch dated 13.03.2023, the first respondent cannot authorize imprisonment



Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C for violation of bond under Section 110

Cr.P.C. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order dated 17.07.2023 passed by the first respondent in Na.Ka.No.2898/2023/A3 is set aside. The petitioner is directed to be released forthwith, if he is not required in any other case. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

01.03.2024

Index : Yes/No

Speaking Order/Non Speaking Order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

cse



Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Taluk Executive Magistrate and
Thasildhar,
Mohanur Taluk,
Namakkal District.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Mohanur Police Station,
Namakkal District.
 - 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Salem.
 - 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

cse

Crl.R.C.No.14 of 2024

01.03.2024