



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.31514 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-12-2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 31514 of 2024

Chinnapaiyan Alias Prakasam
S/o.Saminathan, No.132, Akravaram Post,
Gudiyatham Taluk, Agraharam,
Vellore District and another.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. By, The Inspector Of Police,
Gudiyatham Taluk Police Station, Vellore District.
Crime No.556 Of 2024

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

D.Anandan

For Respondent(s):

Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326 of The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 in Crime No.556 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police were on their routine check up duty, they found that the petitioners were involved in illegal transportation of 1 unit of river sand in a tractor and on seeing the police, the petitioners had ran away from the place. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners had not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and without prejudice to their contentions, the petitioners are prepared to deposit an amount of Rs.10,000/- each towards any charitable organization or association. He also submitted that the petitioner has no previous cases with respect to the alleged offence in the present case. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners by stating that the quantity of river sand involved is 1 unit, which has been illegally taken from the Paallar river. He would further submit that the first petitioner has two previous cases, registered in the year 2021 and 2022 and the second petitioner herein has no previous case.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in *S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)*, while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the quantity of river sand involved in this case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioner offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the



conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioners may be directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each to the credit of District Legal Services Authority, Vellore District, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8. It is made clear that merely because the petitioners are depositing the amount, it would not amount to the petitioners admitting their guilt in the criminal case and such amount is being paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, the petitioners shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousands only) each** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the **District Legal Services Authority, Vellore District**, and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of payment, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the



satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];**

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

18.12.2024

stn



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.31514 of 2024

A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

stn

To

1. The State Rep. By, The Inspector Of Police,
Gudiyatham Taluk Police Station, Vellore District.
Crime No.556 Of 2024

Crl.O.P. No.31514 of 2024

18.12.2024