



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30860 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-12-2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 30860 of 2024

1.KARTHIKEYAN
2.Ashokkumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By
Inspector Of Police, Rasipuram Police Station,
Namakkal District. Crime No.314 Of 2024

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

N.Chinnaraj
N. Chinnaraj
P.Lakshmikanthan
M.Mariappan
K.Prasath

For Respondent(s):

Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS in Crime No.314 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.30860 of 2024

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were found to be in illegal transportation of 2 units of gravel sand in a tipper lorry.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and that a case of sand theft has been fabricated against them. He would further submit that they have no previous cases against them and without prejudice to his contentions, the petitioners are prepared to deposit an amount of Rs.5,000/- each, towards any charitable organization or association. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the quantity of gravel sand involved is 2 units. He would further submit that the petitioners have no previous cases pending against them. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in ***S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)***, while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the sand involved is small quantity and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory



bail to the petitioners. However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioners offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioners may be directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) each, to the credit of District Legal Services Authority, Namakkal District, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8. It is made clear that merely because the petitioners are depositing the amount, it would not amount to the petitioners admitting their guilt in the criminal case and such amount is being paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, the petitioners shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) each**, by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the **District Legal Services Authority, Namakkal District**, and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of



payment, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



Crl.O.P.No.30860 of 2024

appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

Anu

with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

16.12.2024

Anu

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram

2.The Inspector Of Police, Rasipuram Police Station,
Namakkal District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30860 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.30860 of 2024