



WEB COPY



W.P.No.37684 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.12.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE **C.V.KARTHIKEYAN**

W.P.No.37684 of 2024
and W.M.P.No.40744 of 2024

R.Selvakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Senior Revenue Officer,
Zone – 15,
Grater Chennai Corporation,
No.120, Rajiv Gandhi Salai
(OMR Road), Sholinganallur,
Chennai – 600 119.

2. D.Suganthi

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent not to conduct any enquiry pursuant to the proceedings initiated through Ma.Aa15vathu/Na.Ka.No.R1/1170/2024 dated 27.11.2024 till the disposal of the I.A.No.1/2024 in A.S.SR.No.9140/2020 in O.S.No.660/2012 and I.A.No.1/2024 in CMA.SR.9141 of 2021 on the Court of the learned Subordinate Judge, Alandur, Chennai.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.37684 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Kalidass
For Respondents
For R1 : Mr.G.T.Subramani
Standing Counsel
For R2 : Mr.D.Madhusudanan
ORDER

This writ petition has been filed in the nature of a Mandamus seeking a direction against the first respondent, viz., the Senior Revenue Officer, Zone-15, Grater Chennai Corporation, Chennai, not to conduct any enquiry subsequent to the proceedings dated 27.11.2024 till the disposal of I.A.No.1 of 2024 in A.S.SR.No.9140 of 2020 now pending on the file of the Subordinate Court, Alandur, Chennai.

2. Such a prayer cannot be granted by this Court. The petitioner has not taken steps to number the first appeal from the year 2020 and now seeks a blanket injunction as against the first respondent not to conduct any enquiry.

3. It is contended on behalf of the first respondent that the enquiry is conducted as per the direction of the jurisdictional police station wherein a criminal complaint has been lodged. The petitioner is the tenant and the owner of the property is the second respondent.



W.P.No.37684 of 2024

4. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent is also present and he contended that the petitioner had obtained license by using fraudulent documents. Those are issues to be examined by the trial Court.

5. As a matter of fact, in an earlier writ petition in W.P.No.15996 of 2013, a learned Single Judge of this Court by an order dated 28.11.2013, had directed the Corporation to inspect the premises for issuance of license. That writ petition was also filed by the petitioner herein. I find no merits in this writ petition.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

17.12.2024

Index:Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
Speaking/Non Speaking order
rts



WEB COPY



W.P.No.37684 of 2024

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.

rts

To

1. The Senior Revenue Officer,
Zone – 15,
Grater Chennai Corporation,
No.120, Rajiv Gandhi Salai
(OMR Road), Sholinganallur,
Chennai – 600 119.

W.P.No.37684 of 2024
and W.M.P.No.40744 of 2024

17.12.2024