



C.M.A.No.2035 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.07.2024

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.DHANABAL**

C.M.A.No.2035 of 2024

Mohamed Arif Bari

... Appellant

Vs.

Fayeza Zaynab Quraishi

...Respondent

Prayer: Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed under Section 19 of the Family Court Act to set aside the judgment and decree dated 20.02.2023 made in I.A.No.4/2022 in O.S.No.119/2021 on the file of the learned V Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai.

For Appellant : Mr.Suresh Ethiraj
For Respondent : Mr.Lakshana Ramakrishnan

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was made by J.NISHA BANU,J.)

This Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed against the judgment and decree dated 20.02.2023 made in I.A.No.4/2022 in



C.M.A.No.2035 of 2024

WEB COPY

O.S.No.119/2021 on the file of the learned V Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai. The said Interlocutory Application has been filed by the respondent herein under Section 36 of the Indian Divorce Act, 1869, seeking monthly alimony and litigation expenses.

2. As per Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, an appeal against the interlocutory application will not lie and an appeal will lie only as against the judgment or order of the Family Court. Further, in the case of ***G.V.N.S.Siva Prasad vs. V.Jyostna Devi*** made in ***C.M.A.No.1018 of 2022 dated 27.03.2024***, the Division Bench of this Court, after referring to the judgment of ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K. Nepolian Socraties and other cases (Batch)*** reported in ***2024:MHC:1405*** (Neutral Citation of Madras High Court) and ***2024 Live Law (Mad) 126*** held that as against the interlocutory applications/ interim maintenance, only Civil Revision Petition under 227 of the Constitution of India, would lie and not Civil Miscellaneous Appeal.

3. In view of the said judgments, the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed as not maintainable. On filing of the CRP, for the purpose of



C.M.A.No.2035 of 2024

WEB COPY

limitation, the period spent in prosecuting the CMA shall be excluded.

No costs.

4. Registry is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order to the counsel for the appellant after due acknowledgement.

(J.N.B,J.) (P.D.B., J.)
25.07.2024

sk
To
V Additional Principal Family Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.2035 of 2024

J. NISHA BANU, J.
and
P.DHANABAL,J.

sk

C.M.A.No.2035 of 2024

25.07.2024