



Crl.O.P.No.29654 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.29654 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.139 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 9A, 25A, 25, and 29(1) of NDPS Act, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 20.05.2023, at about 06.00 a.m., upon secret information, the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and found that the accused were in illegal possession of 100 Kilograms of Pseudoephedrine. Subsequently, the respondent police seized the contraband, a two wheeler and a mobile phone under cover of seizure mahazar in the presence of witnesses and arrested the accused on the same day.

3. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this court. He would submit that based on the confession statement given by the arrested accused, the petitioner has been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.



Crl.O.P.No.29654 of 2024

4. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that, the accused were found to be in illegal possession of huge quantity viz. 100 Kilograms of Pseudoephedrine, worth about Rs.10 Crores. He would submit that the contraband was recovered from A-1 and A-2 and the petitioner/A-5 along with other accused/A-6, who were supplying the contraband to the A-1 and A-2. He would submit that, the contraband seized were classified as a controlled substance under the NDPS Act and used as a precursor for the illicit manufacturing of Methamphetamine. He would further submit that the petitioner has three previous, out of which, one case is of similar nature, which are pending under investigation and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, the fact that the quantity involved is huge which is worth about Rs.10 Crores and the petitioner is also having one previous case of similar nature, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

27.11.2024

dsn



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.29654 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.29654 of 2024

27.11.2024