



WEB COPY



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.02.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.2450 of 2023

Selviammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Office of the Commissioner of Police (Goondas Section),
Sholinganallur, Chennai – 600 119.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison - Puzhal,
Chennai – 600 066.

4.The Inspector of Police,
J-6, Thiruvanmiyur Police Station,
Chennai – 600 041.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to
issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

the detention order of the 2nd respondent in BCDFGISSSV No.405/2023, dated 19.09.2023 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body and person of detenu namely Arulraj, male, aged 25 years, S/o.Irudhayaraj, detained in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.M.Santharam
For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.C. Aravind

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *M.S.RAMESH, J.*)

The petitioner herein, who is the sister in law of the detenu Arulraj, aged 25 years, S/o.Irudhayaraj, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 19.09.2023 slapped on her brother in law, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the bail order relied upon by the Detaining Authority is not similar to the case on hand, by referring to the fact that bail was granted to the accused therein mainly on the ground that the co-accused was granted bail. Therefore, the learned counsel submitted that the Detaining Authority has not applied its mind while expressing its subjective satisfaction that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail.

4. On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that, in the similar case relied upon by the Detaining Authority, i.e., CrI.M.P.No.377 of 2023, dated 24.01.2023, the accused therein was granted bail mainly on the ground that the co-accused had been granted bail. Hence, this Court is of the view that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority that the



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

detenu is also likely to be released on bail, by relying upon the aforesaid similar case, suffers from non-application of mind.

5. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***'Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and another'*** reported in ***'2011 [5] SCC 244'***, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraph Nos.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

“10.In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained.”

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 19.09.2023 in BCDFGISSSV No.405/2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Arulraj, aged 25 years, S/o.Irudhayaraj, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J]

[S.M., J]

01.02.2024

Index: Yes/No

Sni



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Office of the Commissioner of Police (Goondas Section),
Sholinganallur, Chennai – 600 119.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison - Puzhal,
Chennai – 600 066.
4. The Inspector of Police,
J-6, Thiruvanmiyur Police Station,
Chennai – 600 041.
5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.2450 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Sni

H.C.P.No.2450 of 2023

01.02.2024