



Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.11.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

Kailash

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Oragadam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No.648 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.648 of 2024, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Saranraj

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.11.2024, seeking bail in Crime No.648 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 274, 275, 123 of



Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

BNS and Section 6 and 24(1) of Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 06.11.2024, the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 66.90 kilograms of banned tobacco products worth about Rs.96,340/-. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is only 18 years old and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 06.11.2024 and he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, while objecting for grant of bail to the petitioner, submitted that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 66.90 kilograms of banned tobacco products worth about Rs.96,340/-. He further submitted there are no previous cases pending as against the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, without prejudice to his defense and contention, is ready and willing to deposit an amount of Rs.5,000/- as non-refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government or any other organization. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

7. On considering the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- as non refundable deposit to the credit of the "District Legal Services Authority, Kancheepuram District", without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8. Merely because the petitioner deposits the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.



Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

WEB COPY

9. Taking into consideration the above facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

10. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to make a non-refundable deposit of **Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only)** to the credit of "**District Legal Services Authority, Kancheepuram District**" without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court and on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur, Kancheepuram District**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.11.2024

Anu



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

Anu

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur,
kancheepuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Oragadam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
3. The Superintendent,
Sub Jail, Kancheepuram District.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.29120 of 2024

21.11.2024