



Crl.O.P.No.29004 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.29004 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioners/A2 & A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 126(2), 118(1) and 85 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.334 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute between the defacto complainant and A1, the first petitioner, in an inebriated condition, along with the other accused, abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted her with hands. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner are in laws of the defacto complainant and due to matrimonial dispute, a false complaint has been given. He would further submit that there is no previous case against the petitioners and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail, would submit that due to matrimonial dispute, the petitioners along with the other accused, abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted her with hands and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, no previous case is pending against the petitioners and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **Judicial Magistrate No.1, Kallakurichi**, on condition that the petitioners shall



Crl.O.P.No.29004 of 2024

execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

20.11.2024

ata



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.29004 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

ata

Crl.O.P.No.29004 of 2024

20.11.2024