



Crl.O.P.No.28967 of 2024


Crl.O.P.No.28967 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS Act in Crime No.379 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the defacto complainant one Ramesh has lodged a complaint, stating that he is working as a Tower mechanic in Ventura Services company and stated that an Airtel Indus ID 3274301 tower erected at the back side of Thaileswarar Koil, Thailavaram from which 2 RRU CN39143398, CN34375124 were stolen. Based on the complaint, the respondent police had conducted investigation and found that the petitioner and other accused had involved in the theft. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case, based on the confession of the arrested accused. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties



and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, therefore, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, stating that the petitioner along with other accused had stolen 2 RRU CN39143398, CN34375124 from the Airtel Indus ID 3274301 tower erected at the back side of Thaileswarar Koil, Thailavaram.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the



date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrat No.2, Chengalpattu** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 6:30 p.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.28967 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

stn

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

20.11.2024

stn

Crl.O.P.No.28967 of 2024