



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.11.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

1.Magesh
2.Arun

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Inspector of Police
H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station, Chennai

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail pending investigation of the case in Cr.No.1112 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, (H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station).

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Illiyas

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.10.2024 , seeking bail in Crime No.1112 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under



Crl.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

Sections 8(c) r/w.20(b)(ii)(B) & 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were found in possession of 1.1 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3.Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners (A1 & A4) are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case only for statistical purpose. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners stating that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were found in possession of 1.1 kgs of ganja. The learned counsel further submitted that first petitioner/A1 has two previous cases under the NDPS Act and the second petitioner/A4 has one previous case under the NDPS Act.

5.At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted



Cr1.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

that without prejudice to their defense and contention, the first petitioner is ready and willing to deposit an amount of Rs.10,000/- as non-refundable deposit and the second petitioner is ready and willing to deposit an amount of Rs.5,000/- as non-refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government or to any shelter home. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6.Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Cr1. Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

7.On considering the voluntary submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the first petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) and the second petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/-/- (Rupees five thousand only) as non refundable deposit to "**The Director, Institute of Mental Health, Kilpauk, Chennai**", without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.



Cr1.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

8. Merely, because the petitioners have deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of their guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

9. Taking into consideration the facts and the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, taking note of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

10. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on condition that the first petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/-/- (Rupees ten thousand only) and the second petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/-/- (Rupees five thousand only) as non refundable deposit to "**The Director, Institute of Mental Health, Kilpauk, Chennai**", without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town,



Crl.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

Chennai and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 06.30 pm, until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

20.11.2024

sai



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.
sai

To

1.The XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai

2.The Superintendent
Central Prison, Puzhal

3.The Inspector of Police
H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station
Chennai

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CrI.O.P.No.29005 of 2024

Dated: 20.11.2024