



W.P.No.35750 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.01.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

W.P.No.35750 of 2023

- 1 P.K.RAVI
- 2 A.VENDA
- 3 M.CHITRA
- 4 K.RAGU
- 5 K.BABU
- 6 B.NARASIMMAN
- 7 N.PICHAIMUTHU
- 8 N.KUMAR
- 9 S.KASINATHAN
- 10 M.DHANAPAL
- 11 K.ARIVAZHAGAN
- 12 A.HARIDASS
- 13 M.SEKAR
- 14 A.SUMITHRA
- 15 P.LATHA
- 16 M.MALARVENI
- 17 B.SRIKALA
- 18 J.VALLIYAMMAL
- 19 K.MANIKUMAR
- 20 A.MANJULA
- 21 B.DURGA DEVI
- 22 S.VENDA
- 23 R.MALARKODI
- 24 S.SHOBA
- 25 S.POORNIMA
- 26 SELVI VINCET



W.P.No.35750 of 2023

27 R.MALLIGA
28 M.KUPPAMMAL
29 S.SARITHA
30 D.PRABAKARAN
31 A.MENAKA
32 S.SELVI
33 V.RAMESH
34 SANTHI NARASEN
35 R.JAYANTHI
36 B.RADHA
37 S.KAVITHA
38 MURUGAMMAL RAVI
39 K.RAJESH KUMAR
40 S.NARMADHA

.. Petitioners

Vs

- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
OFFICE OF THE COLLECTORATE
SATHUVACHARI VELLORE
VELLORE DISTRICT.
- 2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
OFFICE OF REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
VELLORE, VELLORE DISTRICT.
- 3 THE THASILDAR
OFFICE OF THE THASILDAR
ANAIKATTU, ANAIKATTU TALUK
VELLORE DISTRICT.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the 3rd Respondent to pass order on the petitioners' representation dated 22.05.2018 based on the judgment in Civil Appeal Nos.6741 and 6742 of 2012 delivered on 20.09.2012 by Honble Supreme Court of India and also based on the order of the 2nd Respondent vide his Letter O.Mu.No. A2/1860/2023, dated 06.06.2023 to the 3rd Respondent within a stipulated time.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35750 of 2023

For the Petitioners : Mr.S.Arokia Mani Raj
For the Respondents : Mr.P.Muthukumar
State Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard Mr.S.Arokia Mani Raj, learned counsel for the petitioners; and Mr.P.Muthukumar, learned State Government Pleader for the respondents.

2. The petition is as vague as it could be. The petitioners contend that encroachers are on the subject property. The persons who are allegedly occupying the subject property are not parties to the petition.

3. According to learned State Government Pleader, the subject land has been assigned to 14 persons from the year 1978 to 1987.



W.P.No.35750 of 2023

4. Changing the course of argument, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that those persons have violated the terms of assignment. As to what terms of the assignment are violated is also not placed on record. The persons who allegedly violated the terms are not parties to the petition. Such a petition cannot be entertained.

5. It is for the petitioners to come out with authentic documents and pleadings, if they want to seek any relief.

6. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. If the petitioners come out with specific pleadings and with all the necessary parties, then they may file a fresh petition. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, W.M.P.No.35727 of 2023 is closed.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(D.B.C., J.)

03.01.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
sasi



W.P.No.35750 of 2023

WEB COPY

To:

- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
OFFICE OF THE COLLECTORATE
SATHUVACHARI VELLORE
VELLORE DISTRICT.
- 2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
OFFICE OF REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
VELLORE, VELLORE DISTRICT.
- 3 THE THASILDAR
OFFICE OF THE THASILDAR
ANAIKATTU, ANAIKATTU TALUK
VELLORE DISTRICT.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35750 of 2023

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY,J.

(sasi)

W.P.No.35750 of 2023

03.01.2024