



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.11.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

Anand Raj

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
R-1 Mambalam Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.256 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.256 of 2024, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Surendran

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

This Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.11.2024, seeking bail in Crime No.256 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 311, 351(3) of BNS.



Crl.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.500/- at knife point. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that, respondent police has foisted a false case against the petitioner for the purpose of detaining him under Act-14 Goondas Act. He also submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 02.11.2024, hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would vehemently oppose for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner is an habitual offender having 18 previous cases.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. Taking into consideration the above facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 06.30.p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions,



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

12.11.2024

Sma



CrI.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

1. XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate Court,
Saidapet, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
R-1 Mambalam Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Central Prison II,
Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.
Sma

Crl.O.P.No.28233 of 2024

12.11.2024