



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.11.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

V.Krishnaraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Puducherry.

2. The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
South Car Street, Villiayanur,
Puducherry – 605 110.

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., and now 528 of BNSS, 2023, to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry on the complaint of one Mrs.Varalakshmi dated 24.09.2024 before All Women Police Station, Puducherry.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jayasree

For Respondents : Mr.K.S.Mohandass
Additional Public Prosecutor
(Puducherry) assisted by
Ms.N.Danalatchumy
Government Advocate

ORDER



CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to direct the second respondent/Police not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry on the complaint of one Mrs.Varalakshmi, dated 24.09.2024 before All Women Police Station, Puducherry.

2. There is a dispute between the petitioner/husband and his wife. Both have given complaint making serious allegations against each other. Hence the Inspector of Police, Hosur had registered a case in C.S.R.No.365 of 2024 on the complaint given by the petitioner against his wife Varalakshmi and her brother and the said Varalakshmi also filed a complaint before the All Women Police Station, Pondicherry. There is also a divorce petition pending between the parties in the Sub Court, Hosur. While that being so, the said lodged complaints were jointly settled on 29.09.2024 by the Inspector of Police, Hosur, after compromise had reached between both the petitioner and his wife. The petitioner has filed the present petition alleging that the Police is harassing him under the guise of enquiry.

3. According to the petitioner, even though his wife agreed to the



CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

compromise, she had not withdrawn her complaint pending before the second respondent/Police herein and that the petitioner and his family members were earlier called for enquiry.

4. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Puducherry) appearing for the respondents, on instructions submitted that as the petitioner had not complied with the terms and conditions of the said compromise, he was called for enquiry by the police and no harassment had taken place.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

18.11.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No
Speaking Order : Yes/No
ms



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Puducherry.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
South Car Street, Villiayanur,
Puducherry – 605 110.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Puducherry.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

P.VELMURUGAN, J

ms

CrI.O.P.No.28677 of 2024

18.11.2024