



HCP.No.2810 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.12.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

H.C.P.No.2810 of 2024

Rajeswari

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Secretary to the Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XIV) Department
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

2.The Commissioner of Police
Greater Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison Puzhal,
Chennai District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
V3 J.J.Nagar Police Station
Chennai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to
issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records connected with the
detention order in BCDFGISSSV.No.940/2024 dated 12.09.2024 on the



HCP.No.2810 of 2024

WEB COPY

file of the Respondent No.2 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body and person of petitioner son one named Mr.Manikandan s/o.Murugesan aged about 30 years now confined at Central Prison, Puzhal before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.*)

The order of detention passed by the second respondent in proceedings BCDFGISSSV.No.940/2024 dated 12.09.2024 is sought to be quashed in the present habeas corpus petition.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Based on one adverse case registered in Crime No.18 of 2024 for the offence under Sections 457 and 380 IPC and the ground case registered in Crime No.377 of 2024 under Section 103 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,



HCP.No.2810 of 2024

2023, the impugned detention order has been issued against the detenu.

However, the ground case relates to an offence due to previous enmity, which cannot be brought under the definition of causing breach of public order, which is an essential requirement for invoking the Act 14 of 1982. The adverse case also can be dealt with by the authorities under the regular penal law. Preventive detention law being draconian is to be invoked sparingly, if the detaining authority arrived at the subjective satisfaction that there is a likelihood of causing breach of public order. In order to punish an accused involved in criminal case, the provisions of Act 14 of 1982 need not be invoked. This exactly is the reason why the Constitutional Courts have time and again reiterated that the preventive detention law, being violative of personal liberty, which is the fundamental right of citizens, has to be invoked cautiously and only in deserving cases. Since the adverse case and the ground case against the detenu can be dealt with under the law of the land, the preventive detention law became unnecessary. For the aforesaid reasons, we are inclined to interfere with the impugned detention order.

4. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent



HCP.No.2810 of 2024

WEB COPY

in proceedings BCDFGISSSV.No.940/2024 dated 12.09.2024 is hereby set aside and the habeas corpus petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Manikandan s/o.Murugesam aged 30 years, now confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[S.M.S., J.]
04.12.2024

[M.J.R., J.]

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
tsh

To
1.Secretary to the Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XIV) Department
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

2.The Commissioner of Police
Greater Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison Puzhal,
Chennai District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
V3 J.J.Nagar Police Station
Chennai District.

5.The Public Prosecutor
High Court Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.2810 of 2024

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

tsh

H.C.P.No.2810 of 2024



WEB COPY



HCP.No.2810 of 2024

04.12.2024