



W.P.No.32439 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.04.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD

W.P.No.32439 of 2023

Kumaravel

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department
Government of Tamil Nadu
Fort St. George, Chennai.

2. The District Collector
Kallakurichi District
Kallakurichi.

3. The Project Director
District Rural Development Office
Kallakurichi District.

4. The Block Development Officer
Chinnasalem Block
Kallakurichi District.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records of the



W.P.No.32439 of 2023

WEB COPY

4th respondent in respect of the proceedings in Na.Ka.No.A3/1697/2020 dated 04.08.2023 on the file of the 4th respondent and quash the same, subsequently, direct the respondents 1 to 3 to initiate action on the 4th respondent for misappropriation of public fund under the Prime Minister's Awas Yogana Scheme 2017-2018.

For the Petitioner : Mr.E.Aneesh Vinayak

For the Respondents : Mr.A.Edwin Prabakar
State Government Pleader
Assisted by
Mr.T.K.Saravanan
Government Advocate

ORDER

(Made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

We have heard Mr.E.Aneesh Vinayak, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.A.Edwin Prabakar, learned State Government Pleader, assisted by Mr.T.K.Saravanan, learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

2. On 31.01.2024, we had observed that the writ petition does not appear to be bona fide.



W.P.No.32439 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that large scale malpractice has been conducted. There was a scheme to construct houses for poor persons under the Prime Minister Awas Yojana Scheme. However, in doing that illegalities have been committed and even before the sanction of the amount, houses were constructed and photographs were uploaded. The petitioner had given representation along with photograph of the houses. But, no cognizance is taken.

4. A counter is filed by the fourth respondent. The details of the order of the sanction, the date of work order and the bank accounts where the amounts are deposited are given. The amount has been given in four instalments. The fourth respondent has completed the process by giving fund under the Scheme and the amount has been sanctioned to all the beneficiaries legally.

5. There is nothing on record to conclude about the alleged illegality.



W.P.No.32439 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. In the light of the above, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(J.S.N.P., J.)

17.04.2024

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

kpl

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department
Government of Tamil Nadu
Fort St. George
Chennai.
2. The District Collector
Kallakurichi District
Kallakurichi.
3. The Project Director
District Rural Development Office
Kallakurichi District.
4. The Block Development Officer
Chinnasalem Block
Kallakurichi District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.32439 of 2023

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD, J

(kpl)

W.P.No.32439 of 2023

17.04.2024