



Crl.O.P.No.27372of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.27372of 2024

WEB COPY

P.DHANABAL,J.

The petitioners/A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 296B, 115(2) and 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998 in Crime No.662 of 2024 seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are neighbours and there was a dispute between them regarding parking of vehicle, due to which, the petitioners had abused and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to them and also threatened them with dire consequence. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that they are ready to abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Therefore, he



Crl.O.P.No.27372of 2024

prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submits that there was a dispute between them regarding parking of vehicle, due to which, the petitioners had abused and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to them. He further submits that there is no previous case against the petitioners and the injured had sustained only simple injury and he was discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of offence, there was a dispute between the parties regarding parking of vehicle and also the fact that there is no previous case against the petitioners and also the fact that the injured had already been discharged from the hospital and considering all other aspects,



CrI.O.P.No.27372of 2024

this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Poonamalle** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the



CrI.O.P.No.27372of 2024

petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30.10.2024

Vv



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27372of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.27372 of 2024

30.10.2024