



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.27133 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated :29.10.2024

CORAM

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.DHANABAL

CRL OP.No.27133 of 2024

P.Arunkumar

... Petitioner

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
AWPS Washermanpet Police Station,
Chennai.
[Cr. No.18 of 2024]

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Mukesh Kannah
For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of B.N.S.S

PRAYER: - The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of the BNSS praying to grant bail to the petitioner in Crime No.18 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.10.2024 for the offence punishable under Section 313, 417, 506(1) of IPC under Section 4 of Women Harassment Act and under Section 66



Crl.O.P. No.27133 of 2024

of IT Act in Crime No.18 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant loved each other since college days and the petitioner had physical relationship with the defacto complainant on several occasions on the promise of marriage. When she became pregnant, the petitioner threatened her to abort the child stating that he will upload their intimate photographs and videos in the social media and thereafter refused to marry the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P. No.27133 of 2024

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the petitioner and the defacto complainant loved each other since college days and the petitioner had physical relationship with the defacto complainant on several occasions on the promise of marriage. When she became pregnant, the petitioner threatened her to abort the child stating that he will upload their intimate photographs and videos in the social media and thereafter refused to marry the defacto complainant and he further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both side counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made on either side and nature of offence charged against the petitioner, considering the relationship between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and that there is no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, I am inclined to



CrI.O.P. No.27133 of 2024

grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **XV Metropolitan Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai** and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 A.M, until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected.

[f] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.



Crl.O.P. No.27133 of 2024

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

29.10.2024

index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking/Non Speaking order
nsl

P.DHANABAL,J.



Crl.O.P. No.27133 of 2024

nsI

WEB COPY

To

1. The XV Metropolitan Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
AWPS Washermanpet Police Station,
Chennai.
3. Central Prison-II, Puzhal.
4. The Government Advocate (Crl.Side), High Court, Madras.

CRL.OP.No.27133 of 2024

29.10.2024