



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED : 30.10.2024**

**CORAM**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH**

**W.P.No.32285 of 2024**

M.Santhosham

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Represented by its Principal Secretary,  
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,  
Fort St. George,  
Chennai 600 009
2. The Commissioner,  
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,  
Panagal Maligai,  
Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015
3. The District Collector,  
Coimbatore District,  
Coimbatore.

... Respondents

**Prayer :** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent for considering and to pass orders on the petitioner's representation dated 15.02.2023 within a time limit fixed by this Court.



For Petitioner : Mr.M.Venkadesh Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.P.Balathandayutham  
Special Government Pleader  
for R1 to R3

### **ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed for the issue of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation made by the petitioner on 15.02.2023 wherein the petitioner has sought for promotion on par with his juniors.

2. Heard Mr.M.Venkadesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.P.Balathandayutham, learned Special Government Pleader for respondents 1 to 3.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was appointed on compassionate ground and there was delay in sending him for Bhavanisagar Training. In view of the same, the Juniors of the petitioner marched over and the petitioner was deprived of getting promotion on time. In order to set right this anomaly, a representation was made by the petitioner to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent through the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent. The 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent did not take any decision on the representation given by the petitioner and the claim of the petitioner for promotion on par with his



junior did not evoke any response. It is under these circumstances, the present writ petition has been filed before this Court.

4. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of grievance that has been expressed by the petitioner, there shall be a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to deal with the representation made by the petitioner on 15.02.2023 on its own merits and in accordance with law and take a decision within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

5. The petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the respondents 1 and 2 along with all the relevant documents and also a copy of this order.

6. This writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. No Costs.

**30.10.2024**

Internet : Yes  
Index : Yes  
Speaking Order / Non Speaking Order  
rka



To

WEB COPY

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Represented by its Principal Secretary,  
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,  
Fort St. George,  
Chennai 600 009
2. The Commissioner,  
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,  
Panagal Maligai,  
Saidapet, Chennai – 600 015
3. The District Collector,  
Coimbatore District,  
Coimbatore.



WEB COPY



**N. ANAND VENKATESH, J.**  
rka

**W.P.No.32285 of 2024**

**30.10.2024**