



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

Gandhi Raj

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
G-3 Kilpauk Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No. 242 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.242 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Sethuraman

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 09.10.2024, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296,



Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

109(1) of BNS, in Crime No.242 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.10.2024 at about 10.00 p.m., due to previous enmity, the petitioner provoked his dog to bite the defacto complainant, thereby causing injuries to him and also gave a life threat to the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He would further submit that the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 15 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. Due to previous enmity, on the date of the alleged occurrence, in respect of parking the vehicle, there was a wordy quarrel



Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

between them, during which, the petitioner provoked his dog to bite the defacto complainant, thereby causing injuries to him. He further submits that the injured was discharged from the hospital. He further submit that the petitioner has no previous case, pending against him. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence, injured was discharged from the hospital, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and defacto complainant in respect of parking the vehicle, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 09.10.2024, and the petitioner has no previous case, pending against him, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)



CrI.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned II Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and



CrI.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

23.10.2024

drl

To

- 1.The II Metropolitan Magistrate Court,
Egmore, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
G-3 Kilpauk Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai District.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

drl

Crl.O.P.No.26188 of 2024

23.10.2024