



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

Babu @ Mohanbabu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kodavasal Police Station,
Thiruvarur District.
(Crime No. 342 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.342 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 26.09.2024, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 318(4),
296(b), 351(3) of BNS 2023 and Section 25(1A) of Arms Act, in Crime



Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

No.342 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.09.2024 at about 3.00 p.m., the petitioner had taken a Swift car on rent from the defacto complainant and later cheated him by not paying the rental amount and returning the vehicle, when the defacto complainant asked for the vehicle's return back, the petitioner abused him with filthy language and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submit that the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody from 26.09.2024 and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that on the date of the alleged occurrence, the petitioner had taken a Swift car on rent from the defacto complainant and



Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

later cheated him by not paying the rental amount and returning the vehicle.

When the defacto complainant asked for the vehicle's return back, the petitioner abused him with filthy language and also threatened with dire consequences. He further submits that the petitioner has 9 previous cases pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence, and already there is a dispute pending between the parties in respect of car rent, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 26.09.2024, and though the petitioner has nine previous cases, all are not similar kind of offence, in all the cases, he has been released on bail, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned



Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kodavasal, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



CrI.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

29.10.2024

drl

To

- 1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Kodavasal.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kodavasal Police Station,
Thiruvavarur District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
District Prison, Thiruvavarur.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

drl

Crl.O.P.No.26241 of 2024

29.10.2024