



Crl.O.P.No.26076 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.26076 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest in connection with Crime No.6 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 465, 468 & 471 of IPC, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the accused who are the employees of M/s.Titan Company Limited had misappropriated 9 Kilograms of gold over a period of time and thereby caused wrongful loss to the company. Hence, the case.

3. Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that she is the wife of A-1. He would submit that A-1 was arrested and released on bail. He would further submit that petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner,



Crl.O.P.No.26076 of 2024

would submit that, totally 8 accused involved in this case, the petitioner is arrayed as A-2 who is the wife of A-1. A-1 was working as a Manager quality control, along with other accused had committed criminal breach of trust and mis-appropriated 9 Kg's of gold from the company over a period of time, thereby causing loss to the company. He would submit that A-1 had purchased several property in his name and in the name of the petitioner, who is his wife.

5. In reply, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, other then being the wife of A-1, petitioner has no role in the commission of offence of criminal breach of trust. He would submit that, the petitioner being a house wife, she had nothing to do with the allegation. He would further submit that the documents in the name of A-1 and also in the name of the petitioner have been recovered by the respondent police.

6. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent and perused the entire materials available on record.

7. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to



Crl.O.P.No.26076 of 2024

grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Krishnagiri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26076 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

25.11.2024

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.26076 of 2024