



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

1.Ilaiyaraja

2.Ramesh @ Thondi Ramesh

... Petitioners

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
P-6 Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No. 722 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in Crime No.722 of 2024 on the
file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Karthick

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody



Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

on 12.10.2024, for the alleged offences punishable under Section 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 311, 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.722 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.10.2024 at about 9.30 p.m, the petitioners along with other accused others waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him with filthy language, threatened him and also robbed a sum of Rs.1,000/- from him at knife point. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case, since they have got some previous cases. They have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He would further submits that the petitioners were arrested and are in judicial custody for more than 10 days and are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are totally five accused in this case and



Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

the petitioners herein are arrayed as A1 and A3. He further submits that on the date of the alleged occurrence, these petitioners along with other accused waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed Rs.1,000/- from him at knife point. He further submits that the amount was not recovered. He further submits that the first petitioner has one previous case and the second petitioner has six previous cases, pending against them. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence, money involved in this case, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, and though the first petitioner has one previous case, and the second petitioner has six previous cases, all are not similar kind of offence, in all the cases, they have been released on bail, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on



CrI.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b] the Petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and



Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

22.10.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Metropolitan Magistrate No.X,
Egmore, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
P-6 Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal-II, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

P.DHANABAL, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

drl

Crl.O.P.No.26105 of 2024

22.10.2024