



Crl.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

Moorthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Harur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
(Crime No. 435 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.435 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Murugavel

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody



Crl.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

on 09.09.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 4(1)(B) r/w 4(1)(C) of TNP in Crime No.435 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.09.2024, when the defacto complainant and his police party were on regular patrol duty, at that time, they found the petitioner along with other accused was in illegal possession of 17 bottles of Tasmac liquor in their hotel at KVMS Dhabba near Mampatti bus stop. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case, since he has got some previous cases. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He would further submit that the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 40 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the



CrI.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

respondent police submitted that there are totally four accused in this case and the petitioner herein is ranked as A1. He further submits that on the date of the alleged occurrence, when the defacto complainant and his team were on regular patrol duty, they found the petitioner along with other accused was in illegal possession of 17 bottles of Tasmac brandy at their hotel at KVMS Dhabba near Mampatti bus stop. He further submits that the petitioner herein is the owner of the said hotel. He further submits that the petitioner has 6 previous cases, pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence, quantity involved, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and though the petitioner has 6 previous cases, and all are not similar kind of offence, in all the cases, he has been released on bail, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.



CrI.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Harur, Dharmapuri District, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



CrI.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

21.10.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Harur, Dharmapuri District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Harur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Sub Jail, Harur, Dharmapuri District.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

drl

Crl.O.P.No.25939 of 2024

21.10.2024