



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.02.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.2105 of 2023

A.Sengolmary

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Cuddalore District, Cuddalore.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District, Cuddalore.

4.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Cuddalore.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Virudhachalam Police Station,
Virudhachalam District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records in C3/D.O./57/2023 dated 30.09.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's son Vijayakumar, aged 27 years, S/o.Arokiyasamy, who now detained in Central Prison, Cuddalore, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Gandhi Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.C. Aravind

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

The petitioner herein, who is the mother of the detenu Vijayakumar, aged 27 years, S/o.Arokiyasamy, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 30.09.2023 slapped on her son, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of



2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the bail order in the similar case relied on by the Detaining Authority to arrive at the subjective satisfaction that the detenu is likely to be released on bail, was not furnished and instead the relaxation order was furnished by the Detaining Authority, which is a total non-application of mind.

4. On a perusal of the Grounds of Detention, it is seen that the Detaining Authority had relied upon the order of bail passed in a similar case in CrI.M.P.No.416/2021 by the District and Sessions Judge, Cuddalore to arrive at the subjective satisfaction that the detenu is likely to be released on bail. However, the order passed in the similar case in CrI.O.P.No.885/2021 dated



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

21.01.2021, is actually the relaxation order instead of the bail order. It is in the said circumstances, this Court finds that the subjective satisfaction arrived at by the Detaining Authority suffers from non-application of mind. Hence, on the above ground, the Detention Order is liable to be quashed.

5. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of '**Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and another**' reported in '**2011 [5] SCC 244**', had dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraph Nos.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

“10.In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner; then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained.”

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

liable to be quashed.

WEB COPY

7. Hence, for the aforesaid reasons, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 30.09.2023 in C3/D.O./57/2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Vijayakumar, aged 27 years, S/o.Arokiyasamy, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [S.M., J]
06.02.2024

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Sni



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

WEB COPY To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Cuddalore District, Cuddalore.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District, Cuddalore.
- 4.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Cuddalore.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Virudhachalam Police Station,
Virudhachalam District.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.2105 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Sni

H.C.P.No.2105 of 2023

06.02.2024