



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.11.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

Dhinesh Bagathur PK,

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
K-3 Aminjikarai Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No. 469 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.469 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.M.Ramakrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 29.08.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 8(c), 22(c)



Crl.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

& 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985 in Crime No.469 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.08.2024 at about 17.00 hours, the Inspector of Police, on receipt of a secret information about the illegal possession of narcotic substances, had entered the same in the General Diary and went to the scene of occurrence, along with his police team. Wherein, they found that the accused persons were in illegal possession of 3840 Tramadol Tablets (Pyeevon Spas Plus named Tramadol HCL), 12 milligram brown sugar and 100 grams of ganja, and the same was seized and also recorded confession statement from them, in which, A1 & A2 have revealed that A3 supplied the contraband, which he purchased the contraband from A7 and A8 and that the contraband were kept for sale in the local area with the help of A4, A5 and A6. Based on which, the case in Crime No.469 of 2024 was registered against the accused for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 22(c) & 29(1) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985. Hence the case.



Crl.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

100 grams of ganja, which is a commercial quantity. He further submitted that the respondent have arrested the accused and obtained confession statement and the lab report is yet to be received. He further submitted that the accused person A1, A2 and A3 purchased the tablet from A7 and A8 and the same was kept for sale in the local area with the help of A4. He further submitted that the petitioner belongs to Nepal, and that investigation is still pending. He further submits that the petitioner has no previous case pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the representation made by both side counsel, no contraband was recovered from this petitioner, even according to the prosecution the entire contraband was recovered from A1 and A2, no previous case pending against him, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 29.08.2024 and considering that the petitioner was arrayed as accused based on the confession statement of co-accused and co-accused was already released on bail and also considering all



CrI.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned V Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the concerned NDPS Court, Chennai on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



CrI.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

27.11.2024

drl

To

- 1.The V Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
K-3 Aminjikarai Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

P.DHANABAL, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.26083 of 2024

drl

Crl.O.P.No.26083 of 2024
(1/2)

27.11.2024