



W.P.No.31077 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.31077 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.33723 & 33725 of 2024

K.S.Vijayakumar,
Sole Proprietor,
M/s.Sri Varri Pack,
1/261/B6, Sri Varri Building,
Park Avenue KG Garden, Mudhalipalayam SIDCO,
Tiruppur, Tamil Nadu 641 606

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Deputy Commercial Tax Officer,
Tiruppur Rural Circle,
Tiruppur.
- 2.The Branch Manager,
Punjab National Bank,
Avinashi Road Branch, Tiruppur 641 603.
- 3.The Branch Manager,
YES Bank,
Avinashi Road Branch, Tiruppur 641 603.

... Respondents

Prayer:

1/7



W.P.No.31077 of 2024

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records leading to the issuance of the impugned order bearing Ref. GSTIN: 33AGPPV2405F1ZF/2017-18 dated 16.12.2023 passed by the 1st respondent and quash the same and further, direct the 1st respondent to lift the bank attachment bearing Bank Account Nos.1. Yes Bank Account No.048463300000896, 2. Yes Bank Account No.0484846000001071, 3. Punjab National Bank Account No.4402-21-91566 made vide letter dated 23.04.2024 and 15.05.2024.

For Petitioner : Ms.N.Devi

For Respondent : Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran,
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 16.12.2023 passed by the 1st respondent.

2. Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran, learned Government Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.



W.P.No.31077 of 2024

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the column, viz., "View Additional Notices and Orders", in the GST portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Hence, this petition has been filed. Further, he requests this Court to lift the bank attachment order passed by the respondent and de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent has uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 10% of the disputed amount by the petitioner.

3/7



W.P.No.31077 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. In the case on hand, it is clear that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Hence, this Court is of the view that the impugned order was passed in violation of principles of natural justice since it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 16.12.2023 passed by the 1st respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 16.12.2023 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the 1st respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 10% of disputed tax amount to the respondent within a period of four weeks from today (24.10.2024) and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.



(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the 1st respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned order itself has been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment made on the bank account of the petitioner cannot survive any longer and hence, it is lifted. As a sequel, the 2nd and 3rd respondents are directed to release the attachment and de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon the production of proof with regard to the payment of 10% of the demand amount by the petitioner as stated above.

7. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also



W.P.No.31077 of 2024

closed.

WEB COPY

24.10.2024

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa

To

The Deputy Commercial Tax Officer,
Tiruppur Rural Circle,
Tiruppur.



W.P.No.31077 of 2024

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.No.31077 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.33723 & 33725 of 2024

24.10.2024