



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

1.Venkatesan

2.Selvi

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dharmapuri District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palacode Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
(Crime No. 228 of 2024).

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in Crime No. 228 of 2024 on the
file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.R.Elavarasan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Vinodkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners/A2 & A3, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.09.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Section 194(3)(i) @ 108 of BNS, in Crime No.228 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased/victim. On 02.09.2024 at about 12.55 p.m, the petitioners, along with their son, A1 had demanded dowry and harassed the victim/deceased. Due to the continuous harassment made by the petitioners and A1 and also due to mental stress, the victim had committed suicide by hanging. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. They have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submitted that the petitioners were arrested and they are in judicial custody for more than 40 days and they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the first and second respondents submitted that there are totally three accused in this case and the petitioners herein are A2 and A3. He further submits that the petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased/victim and on the date of the alleged occurrence, the petitioners along with their son/A1 had demanded dowry and harassed the victim/deceased. Due to the harassment made by the petitioners and A1 and also due to mental stress, the victim had committed suicide by hanging. He further submits that the R.D.O. enquiry was also completed. He further submits that the petitioners have no previous case pending against them. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence charged against the petitioner, considering the family dispute between the petitioners and the deceased, the petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased, and the petitioners have no previous cases pending against them, considering the period of incarceration



Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

undergone by the petitioners, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Palacode, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the Petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

17.10.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Palacode.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dharmapuri District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Palacode Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
- 4.The Superintendent,
District Jail, Dharmapuri District.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

P.DHANABAL, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

drl

Crl.O.P.No.25225 of 2024

17.10.2024