



W.P.No.30808 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.30808 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.33412 & 33413 of 2024

Will Products,
Rep by its Proprietor,
Shiv Nath Singh Yatendra Pratap,
F-9A-9B, Spencer Plaza,
769, Anna Salai, Chennai 600 002.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy State Tax Officer,
Anna Salai Assessment Circle,
No.1, Greams Road,
Chennai 600 006.

2.The Branch Manager,
State Bank of India,
CP Ramasamy Road Branch,
No.67, CP Ramasamy Road,
Chennai 600 018.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the 1st
respondent's demand order made in Ref.No.ZD330224045361X dated



W.P.No.30808 of 2024

08.02.2024 and quash the same and consequently, direct the 1st respondent to give an opportunity of personal hearing.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Suresh Babu

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik,
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 08.02.2024 passed by the 1st respondent.

2. Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the 1st respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the column, viz., "View Additional Notices and Orders", in the GST portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any



W.P.No.30808 of 2024

opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Hence, this petition has been filed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent has uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 10% of the disputed amount by the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. In the case on hand, it is clear that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Hence, this Court is of the view that the impugned order was



W.P.No.30808 of 2024

passed in violation of principles of natural justice since it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 08.02.2024 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 08.02.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the 1st respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 10% of disputed tax amount to the respondent within a period of four weeks from today (24.10.2024) and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the 1st respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30808 of 2024

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned orders itself have been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment made on the bank account of the petitioner cannot survive any longer and hence, it is lifted. As a sequel, the 2nd respondent is directed to release the attachment and de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon the production of proof with regard to the payment of 10% of the demand amount by the petitioner as stated above.

7. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

24.10.2024

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30808 of 2024

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

To

The Deputy State Tax Officer,
Anna Salai Assessment Circle,
No.1, Greams Road,
Chennai 600 006.

W.P.No.30808 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.33412 & 33413 of 2024

24.10.2024