



W.P.No.30754 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.30754 of 2024
& W.M.P.No.33362 of 2024

M/s.Tirupathi Granaite,
Rep by its Prop Mr.R.Jothi Murugan,
GSTIN 33BGEPJ6070J1ZF,
441/2, Dharapuram Road,
N Kanchipuram Koduvai,
Tiruppur, Tamil Nadu 638 660

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Deputy State Tax Officer I,
Pongalur Assessment Circle,
Ground Floor, Emperor Building,
Indra Nagar, 1st Street, Avinashi Road,
Tiruppur 641 602

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records in the file of the impugned order in 33BGEPJ6070J1ZF/2018-19 dated 24.04.2024 and its summary served electronically in common portal vide Form DRC-07 No.ZD3304241843992 dated 24.04.2024 and



W.P.No.30754 of 2024

to quash the same with consequential relief to direct the respondent to unfreeze the petitioner's bank account No.006710 (Indian Overseas Bank, Melur)

For Petitioner : Ms.S.Akila

For Respondent : Mr.G.Nanmaran,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 24.04.2024 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.G.Nanmaran, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the column, viz., "View Additional Notices and Orders", in the GST portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned



W.P.No.30754 of 2024

order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Hence, this petition has been filed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent has uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 10% of the disputed amount by the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. In the case on hand, it is clear that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Hence, this Court is of the view that the impugned order was



W.P.No.30754 of 2024

passed in violation of principles of natural justice since it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2024 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 24.04.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 10% of disputed tax amount to the respondent within a period of four weeks from today (24.10.2024) and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.



W.P.No.30754 of 2024

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned orders itself have been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment made on the bank account of the petitioner cannot survive any longer and hence, it is lifted. As a sequel, the respondent is directed to instruct the concerned Bank to release the attachment and de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon the production of proof with regard to the payment of 10% of the demand amount by the petitioner as stated above.

7. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

24.10.2024

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa



WEB COPY



W.P.No.30754 of 2024

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

To

The Deputy State Tax Officer I,
Pongalur Assessment Circle,
Ground Floor, Emperor Building,
Indra Nagar, 1st Street, Avinashi Road,
Tiruppur 641 602

W.P.No.30754 of 2024
& W.M.P.No.33362 of 2024

24.10.2024