



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.25285 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 15.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL OP.No.25285 of 2024

P.Suresh

... Petitioner / Accused - 1

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
E-1, Mylapore Police Station.

(Crime No.259 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER: - The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, (BNSS) 2023, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in Crime No.259 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Prakash

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.08.2024, for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),



296(b), 309(4) and 311 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.259 of 2024, on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 17.08.2024 at about 11.30 a.m., when the defacto complainant was in his shop, the petitioner along with the other accused came to the defacto complainant's shop and purchased vegetable rice, packet and after collecting the same, they started moving. When the defacto complainant asked for money, the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him and robbed Rs.500/- at knife point. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence. He would further submit that the petitioner has been in custody from 17.08.2024. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner along with the other accused came to the defacto complainant's shop, purchased goods and refused to pay money, when the defacto complainant questioned the same, the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him and robbed Rs.500/- at knife point. He would further submit that there are ten previous cases against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard both side learned counsel and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the money involved in this case and also the number of days of incarceration undergone by the petitioner and that though the petitioner is having some previous cases, he was granted bail in all the cases, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten



Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Metropolitan Magistrate XVIII, Saidapet, Chennai**, and on further conditions that;

[b] the Petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[e] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

15.10.2024

ata



CRL O.P. No.25285 of 2024

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai.
- 2.Central Prison – II, Puzhal.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
E-1, Mylapore Police Station.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.25285 of 2024

P.DHANABAL,J.
ata

CRL.OP.No.25285 of 2024

15.10.2024