



Crl.O.P. No.25359 of 2024

Crl.O.P. No.25359 of 2024

P. DHANABAL.J.,

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS r/w. Section 4 of TNPHWA in Crime No.770 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 22.09.2024, the accused along with two others were assaulted the Defacto-complainant and her husband and caused injury in her head and admitted in hospital. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that this petitioner is an innocent person and he had not indulged in any illegal activities as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case by the respondent police. There is no previous case as against this petitioner. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P. No.25359 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the accused along with two others were assaulted the Defacto-complainant and her husband and caused injury in her head and admitted in hospital. In this case, injured person was discharged from hospital. There is no previous case as against this petitioner. However, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, considering the nature of offence and considering that the injured person was discharged from hospital, there is no previous case against this petitioner and also considering other aspects, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready,



Crl.O.P. No.25359 of 2024

before the learned **Vth Metropolitan Magistrate at Egmore, Chennai**

on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00a.m. for the period of thirty days;

[b] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.25359 of 2024

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S. 2023.

17.10.2024

gvn



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.25359 of 2024

P.DHANABAL,J

gvn

Crl.O.P.No.25359 of 2024

17.10.2024