



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.03.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

Arb.Appln. No.604 of 2024

M/s.Indus Towers Limited,
rep. by its Authorised Signatory
S.Prasanna

.. Applicant

VS

R.Balasundari

.. Respondent

ORDER

As directed by this Court, the applicant has sent notice to the respondent. An affidavit of service has been filed enclosing the acknowledgment card received from the respondent. Despite service of notice, till date, no one has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent.

2.The Advocate Commissioner appointed by this Court has already re-posessed the equipment, morefully described in the schedule to the judges summons. Since the equipment has already been re-posessed and since the respondent has been duly served with the notice in this application, but has chosen not to enter appearance, nothing further survives for further adjudication in this application.



WEB COPY



ABDUL QUDDHOSE,J.

vga

3.After recording the fact that the equipment has already been re-possessed by the Advocate Commissioner, this application is closed.

4.The Advocate Commissioner has filed a Memo seeking for additional remuneration. The Advocate Commissioner has been paid initial remuneration of Rs.30,000/-. The Advocate Commissioner claims that he had spent 8 days for executing the warrant of commission.

5.In view of the same, this Court directs the applicant to pay an additional remuneration of Rs.20,000/- to the Advocate Commissioner within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

17.03.2025

vga

Arb.Appln. No.604 of 2024