



Crl.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

Ramana

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
Inspector of Police,
C-5 Ooragadam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No. 550 of 2024).

...Respondent/Complainant

PRAYERCriminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in Crime No. 550 of 2024 on
the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P. Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinodkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CrI.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 309(4), 311 of BNS , in Crime No.550 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant and demanded to pay rowdy mamool through G pay and the same was refused by the defacto complainant. This led to a quarrel between them and thereafter, the quarrel escalated into violence. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested and he is in judicial custody from 02.09.2024 and are ready to abide by any



Crl.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant at knife point and demanded money from him and attacked the him and caused injuries to him and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. When the matter is taken up for hearing the defacto complainant appeared before this Court and submitted that the petitioners are his neighbours and he has not given any complainant against the petitioners and only at the instructions of the investigation officer he has signed in the papers, that apart he was not aware of the fact that case has been registered against the petitioners. He has also filed an affidavit to that effect.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record



CrI.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

including the First Information Report.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the submissions made by the defacto complainant that **he has not given any complainant against the petitioners and only at the instructions of the investigation officer he has signed in the papers** and also taking note of the affidavit filed by him, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Sriperumbudur, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the concerned Jurisdictional Magistrate, on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders..



CrI.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

[b] the petitioner shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond;

[c] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[e] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

and

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

26.09.2024

smn

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Sriperumbudur

2. Inspector of Police,
C-5 Ooragadam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison-II, Puzhal

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

smn

Crl.O.P.No.23813 of 2024



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23813 of 2024

26.09.2024