



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 04.10.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.29073 of 2024 &
W.M.P.Nos.31726 and 31727 of 2024

Saibaba Enterprises
OLD NO.98/2, NEW NO.25,
Kathivakkam High Road,
Korukkupet, Chennai-21
Rep. by its Proprietor Ramswaroop ... Petitioner

Vs.

The Asst. Commissioner (ST)
Washermanpet Assessment Circle,
Chennai. ... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the Respondent leading to issuance of Impugned Order dated 25.04.2024 vide GSTIN: 33AADPR3320N1ZH/2018-19 and quash the same and direct the Respondent to pass order after considering the reply to be filed by the Petitioner.



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Sathyanarayanan

For Respondent : Mr.G.Nanmaran
Special Government Pleader (T)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the orders of the Respondent dated 25.04.2024 and to quash the same and direct the Respondent to pass order after considering the reply to be filed by the Petitioner.

2. Mr.G.Nanmaran, learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes) takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the present case, initially the respondent has issued Show Cause Notice to the petitioner on 28.12.2023. Since the same was uploaded in the "Additional Notices and Orders" column in the GST portal, the Petitioner



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

was not aware of the same and hence they failed to file their reply. Under these circumstances, the impugned assessment order dated 25.04.2024 came to be passed by the Respondent demanding tax along with penalty and interest for the Assessment Year 2018-2019 and the same was also uploaded in the GST Portal. The Petitioner came to know of the show cause notice as well as impugned order only during the 3rd week of August from the Respondent office.

5.Further, he would submit that impugned order came to be passed without affording an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner and therefore the same is in violation of principles of natural justice and therefore prays to set aside the same.

6. On the other hand, the learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes) would submit that the respondent uploaded the show cause notice in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to submit reply to substantiate its case and therefore the impugned assessment order came to be passed.



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

WEB COPY

7. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner would fairly submit that the petitioner is now ready and willing to pay 10% of the disputed tax liability made by the respondent in the event of providing an opportunity to them to file their reply along with the required documents to substantiate their claim, for which, the learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes) has no serious objection.

8. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes) for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

9. In the present case, since the show cause notice was uploaded in the GST Portal, the petitioner was not aware of the same and therefore they were not in a position to file reply for the show cause notice.

10. Further, it appears that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Hence,



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

this Court is of the view that the impugned orders are passed in violation of principles of natural justice and it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 25.04.2024 passed by the Respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 25.04.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 10% of disputed tax to the respondents within a period of *four weeks* from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of two weeks thereafter.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice by fixing the date of



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

11. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

04.10.2024

Speaking/Non-speaking order
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
arr

To

6/8



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

The Asst. Commissioner (ST)
Washermanpet Assessment Circle,
Chennai.

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

arr



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29073 of 2024

**W.P.No.29073 of 2024 &
W.M.P.Nos.31726 and 31727 of 2024**

04.10.2024