



Crl.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

WEB C **P.DHANABAL, J.**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Section 408 of IPC in Crime No.718 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant has been running a business in the name of Sri Venkateshwara Enterprises for the past six years and his GST No. 33BCTPG1127JIZN. Further he is regularly paying the necessary GST to the Government. Further as on today the government has a refund of GST amount of Rs.19,57,852/-. On 22.07.2023, when the defacto complainant verified his account and came to know that the accused created a company in the name of Agro Tech (GST No. 34AWDPA0797RIX5) and used the defacto complainant's bills to get a ITC amount of Rs. 19,57,852/-. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits



CrI.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the defacto complainant has been running a business in the name of Sri Venkateshwara Enterprises for the past six years and his GST No. 33BCTPG1127JIZN. Further he is regularly paying the necessary GST to the Government. Further as on today the government has a refund of GST amount of Rs.19,57,852/-. On 22.07.2023, when the defacto complainant verified his account and came to know that the accused created a company in the name of Agro Tech (GST No. 34AWDPA0797RIX5) and used the defacto complainant's bills to get a ITC amount of Rs. 19,57,852/- and he further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener submitted that the accused created a company in the name of Agro Tech (GST No. 34AWDPA0797RIX5) and used the defacto complainant's bills to get a



CrI.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

ITC amount of Rs. 19,57,852/-. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and considering that part of the amount was also repaid by the petitioner and FIR is also registered in the year 2023 and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



Crl.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

[a] the petitioner shall report **before the respondent police daily at 10.30. A.M. daily until further orders;**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can



CrI.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

WEB COPY

03.10.2024

1/2

nsI



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

nsI

Crl.O.P.No.23272 of 2024

03.10.2024

1/2