



Crl.O.P.No.23250 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.23250 of 2024

WEB COPY

P.DHANABAL, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS r/w Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.958 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant's husband and father were assaulted by the petitioner and other accused persons. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had not committed any offence as alleged and the petitioner had been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner .



Crl.O.P.No.23250 of 2024

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to a previous enmity, *de facto* complainant's husband and father were attacked by the petitioner and other accused persons and that there is no previous case as against the petitioner and also considering the gravity of offences, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner .

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and that there is no previous case as against the petitioner and as the injured is discharged from hospital and further, taking into consideration of the fact that the other co-accused was arrested and released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten



Crl.O.P.No.23250 of 2024

Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report **before the respondent police daily at 10:30 a.m., for thirty days.**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade then from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

P.DHANABAL, J.

vca



Crl.O.P.No.23250 of 2024

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

23.09.2024

vca

Crl.O.P.No.23250 of 2024