



Crl.O.P.No.23268 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.23268 of 2024

WEB COPY

P.DHANABAL, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS r/w Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.30 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant was assaulted by the petitioner. Hence, the complainant.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had not committed any offence as alleged and the petitioner had been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner .



CrI.O.P.No.23268 of 2024

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant are husband and wife respectively. The petitioner had assaulted the *de facto* complainant and that there is no previous case as against the petitioner and also considering the gravity of offences, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner .

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the relationship between the parties and that there is no previous case as against the petitioner and as the injured is discharged from hospital, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***Additional Mahila Court (Magistrate level), Dharmapuri*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the



CrI.O.P.No.23268 of 2024

satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report **before the respondent police daily at 10:30 a.m., for thirty days.**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade then from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23268 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

vca

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

23.09.2024

vca

Crl.O.P.No.23268 of 2024