



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.01.2024

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

Shanthi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home Prohibition & Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.

2.District Collector and District Magistrate,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.

4.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Coimbatore.



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

5.State Rep by:-

The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

... Respondents

Prayer : Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records in connection with the order of Detention passed by the second respondent dated 02.09.2023 in Cr.M.P.No.29/D.O/2023 against the petitioner husband Sathish @ Venkateshwaran, Son of Eshwaran, who is confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.A.Saranraj
For Respondents	:	Mr.E.Raj Thilak Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.C.Aravind

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **M.S.RAMESH, J.**)

The petitioner, wife of the detenu namely Sathish @ Venkateshwaran, S/o.Eshwaran, aged 33 years, has come forward with this petition



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

challenging the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent, dated 02.09.2023 slapped on her husband, branding him as "Drug Offender" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3.Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the bail order relied upon by the Detaining Authority is not similar to the case on hand, by referring to the fact that bail was granted to the accused therein mainly on the ground that the co-accused was granted bail. Therefore, the learned counsel submitted that the Detaining Authority has not applied his mind while expressing his subjective satisfaction that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail.

4.On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that, in the similar case



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

relied upon by the Detaining Authority, i.e., Cr.M.P.No.4343 of 2022, dated 27.12.2022, the accused therein was granted bail mainly on the ground that the co-accused had been granted bail. Hence, this Court is of the view that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail, by relying upon the aforesaid similar case, suffers from non-application of mind.

5.The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and Another*** reported in ***2011 [5] SCC 244***, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraphs No.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

“10.In our opinion, if details are given by the



respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained."



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

WEB COPY

6.In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

7.Accordingly, the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent, in Cr.M.P.No.29/D.O/2023, dated 02.09.2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Sathish @ Venkateshwaran, S/o.Eshwaran, aged 33 years, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [S.M., J]
02.01.2024

pvs

Internet : Yes

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

Page 6 of 8



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Secretary to the Government,
Home Prohibition & Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.
4. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Coimbatore.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

pvs

H.C.P.No.1895 of 2023

02.01.2024