



Crl.O.P.No.22767 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.22767 of 2024

WEB C **P.DHANABAL, J.**

The petitioner who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 3(2)(a), 3(2)(b), 4(1) and 5(1)(a) of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.215 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that first accused was running a spa illegally without valid permit from the Government and the petitioner was working as a Masseur. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had not committed any offence as alleged and the petitioner had been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner was only working in the spa as a Masseuse and his name does not find place in the First Information Report (FIR) and that he is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner .



Crl.O.P.No.22767 of 2024

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the first accused was running a spa without valid license from the Government, where the petitioner was working as a Masseur and the spa was used for doing brothel activities and from which three victim major girls were rescued. He further submitted that there is no previous case as against the petitioner and also considering the gravity of offences, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner .

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and that there is no previous case as against the petitioner and further, taking into consideration of the fact that the main accused was arrested and released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***District Munsif- cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kilvelur*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only),



Crl.O.P.No.22767 of 2024

with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report **before the respondent police daily, for thirty days.**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade then from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate

P.DHANABAL, J.

vca



Crl.O.P.No.22767 of 2024

action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

18.09.2024

vca

Crl.O.P.No.22767 of 2024