



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

Bharath

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Korukkupet Railway Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.113 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No. 113 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S. Ramachandran

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 15.07.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 103,109 &



Crl.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

118 of BNS Act in Crime No. 113 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 14.07.2024, at about 6.00 p.m., due to wordy quarrel regarding over flying kites, the petitioner along with other accused persons attacked the defacto complainant's son and his brother with deadly weapons, as a result, the defacto complainant's son died. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submit that he was not at all present at the scene of occurrence. He would further submit that the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 60 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are totally seven accused in this case



Crl.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

and the petitioner herein is arrayed as A2. He further submits that on the date of the alleged occurrence, due to wordy quarrel regarding over flying kites, the petitioner along with other accused persons attacked the defacto complainant's son and his brother with deadly weapons, causing grievous injuries to them, as a result, the defacto complainant's son died. He further submits that the petitioner has no previous case, pending against him and the investigation was also completed. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offence, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, and investigation was also completed and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on



CrI.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

WEB COPY

his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the XVI Metropolitan Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the concerned Jurisdictional Magistrate, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against



CrI.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

18.09.2024

drl

To

- 1.The XVI Metropolitan Magistrate Court,
George Town, Chennai
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Korukkupet Railway Police Station,
Chennai
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison-II, Puzhal
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

drl

Crl.O.P.No.22460 of 2024

18.09.2024