



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

CrI.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

Ranajit Roy

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
B3 VH Road Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No. 122 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No. 122 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Parthiban

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 29.07.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 318(4) of
BNS, in Crime No.122 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks



CrI.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused induced the defacto complainant with enticing words, made him to give 275 grams of 916 hallmark gold jewels to be converted into pure gold. Believing them, the defacto complainant gave the gold worth about Rs.60,00,000/-, whereas, the accused failed to repay the same as assured and cheated him. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submits that the defacto complainant and this petitioner are known to each other and due to financial dispute, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint against the petitioner. He further submit that the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 45 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the



Crl.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

WEB COPY

respondent police submitted that the petitioner along with other accused has induced the defacto complainant under the guise of giving pure gold for 275 grams of 916 hallmark gold, and cheated the defacto complainant, worth about Rs.60,00,000/, thereby cheating him for not repaying the same. He further submit that the property was not yet recovered. He further submit that the petitioner has no previous case pending against him. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and the petitioner has no previous case pending against him, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.



CrI.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.V, Coimbatore, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



CrI.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

12.09.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.V,
Coimbatore.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
B3 VH Road Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

P.DHANABAL, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

drl

Crl.O.P.No.22248 of 2024

12.09.2024