



Crl. O.P. No.22072 of 2024

Crl. O.P. No.22072 of 2024

P. DHANABAL.J.,

WEB COPY

The petitioners / Accused who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4) and 351(3) of B.N.S. 2023 in connection with the Cr. No.369 of 2024, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is the petitioners had abused the defacto complainant using filthy language, assaulted using iron rod and wooden log and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that there is already a land dispute between the parties, that the petitioners have not involved in the crime, that they are innocent persons, that they have not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant, that they are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would contend that due to land dispute between the



Crl. O.P. No.22072 of 2024

parties, the petitioners had abused the defacto complainant using filthy language, assaulted using iron rod and wooden log and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence he objected for the grant of anticipatory bail. Further he submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital, as simple injury and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the arguments putforth on either side, considering the fact that the injured person was discharged from the hospital, that no previous case is pending against the petitioners and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten



Crl. O.P. No.22072 of 2024

Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday for a period of 4 weeks and thereafter as and when required for investigation.

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



Crl. O.P. No.22072 of 2024

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

09.09.2024

mjs

To

- 1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur
- 2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
- 3.The Inspector of Police, J8 Neelankarai Police Station, Chennai District.

P.DHANABAL,J
mjs

CRL O.P. No.22072 of 2024



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No.22072 of 2024

09.09.2024