



Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.09.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

Sarathkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Santhavasal Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No. 399 of 2024).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No. 399 of 2024 on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinothkumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 24.08.2024, for the alleged offence punishable under Section 108 of BNS,



Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

2023, in Crime No.399 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 15.08.2024, the defacto complainant's daughter and the petitioner loved each other. Subsequently, the petitioner refused to marry, for which, the defacto complainant's daughter consume poison, and was admitted in the hospital and later she died. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He also submitted that the petitioner has not abetted the victim to commit suicide and he was arrested and is in judicial custody for more than 20 days and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner and the deceased loved each



Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

other, subsequently, the petitioner refused to marry the victim, due to the same, the victim, under depression, committed suicide by consuming poison, admitted in the hospital and later she died. He further submit that the petitioner has no previous case, pending against him. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of offence, and there was a love affair between the petitioner and the deceased, for which a wordy quarrel took place between them, and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and the petitioner has no previous case pending against him, and also considering all others factors, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)



Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Polur, Tiruvannamalai, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m, until further orders.

[b] the Petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[c] the Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and



CrI.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

12.09.2024

drl

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Polur, Tiruvannamalai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Santhavasal Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Vellore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

P.DHANABAL, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

drl

Crl.O.P.No.21809 of 2024

12.09.2024