



Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2024

**Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2024**

WEB C **P.DHANABAL, J.**

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Section 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of the BNS in Crime No.483 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant got loan for sum of Rs.6,50,000/- from the first petitioner. The defacto complainant repaid the loan amount of Rs.3,50,000/-. Further the defacto complainant made delay in paying remaining amount. Subsequently the quarrel arose between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant. Therefore, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before the respondent police and the respondent police also enquired and advised the defacto complainant to repay the amount in monthly installments. Thereafter, the defacto complainant not paid the loan mount to the first petitioner therefore the first petitioner and his wife/second petitioner made quarrel with the defacto complainant. Subsequently, the second petitioner used abusive words against the defacto complainant and also the first petitioner caused injury in the defacto complainant's face. Hence, the complaint.



CrI.O.P.No.21354 of 2024

WEB COPY 3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are an innocent person and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to money dispute, the first and second petitioner used abusive words against the de-facto complainant and the first petitioner caused injury in the defacto complainant's face and injured also discharged from hospital, there is no previous case as against the petitioners, and further, considering the gravity of offence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and considering that injured also discharged from hospital and that there is no



CrI.O.P.No.21354 of 2024

previous case is pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore* on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report **before the respondent police on every Saturday for a period of four weeks.**

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.



CrI.O.P.No.21354 of 2024

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

[g] If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

**03.09.2024**

nsI

**P.DHANABAL, J.**



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2024

nsi

**Crl.O.P.No.21354 of 2024**

**03.09.2024**