



Crl.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

WEB COPY

P.DHANABAL, J.

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 126, 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of the B.N.S.Act in Crime No.195 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant was abused and attacked with a wooden rod by the petitioner. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had not committed any offence as alleged and the petitioner had been falsely implicated in this case. He submitted that the petitioner is willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner .



CrI.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner abused and attacked the *de facto* complainant and the *de facto* complainant sustained injuries and further, considering the gravity of offence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that the injured was discharged from hospital and that there is no previous case as against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***Judicial Magistrate No.II, MM Egmore*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the



CrI.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition

that:

[a] the petitioner shall report **before the respondent police on every Saturday, for four weeks.**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the



CrI.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

02.09.2024

vca



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

P.DHANABAL, J.

vca

Crl.O.P.No.21128 of 2024

02.09.2024